

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/602,374	06/23/2003	Yee Loong Chin	70030419-1	1701		
7590 02/26/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			ERDEM,	ERDEM, FAZLI		
Legal Departme		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
-	perty Administration		PAPER NUMBER			
P.O. Box 7599	20527 0500	2826	2826			
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/602,37	7 4	CHIN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	1			
		Fazli Erde	em	2826	AW			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) o period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w- reply received by the Office later than three months affi ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever inication. of days, a reply within the state utory period will apply and within the state within the state of the apply and within the state of the st	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely on the mailing date of this co IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,8,9 and 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is c	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CF				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		· —		D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 5-7 and 10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8, 9 and 11-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bischel et al. (2002/0110328) in view of Nakabayashi (2003/0112515) further in view of Ishihara et al. (2001/0050815)

Regarding Claims 1-4, 8, 9, and 11-20, Bischel et al. disclose a multi-channel laser pump source for optical amplifiers where an optical assembly is formed by pressing an optical array emitter chip against a standoff structure protruding from submount such that the emitter chip deforms to match the curvature of the standoff structure. An IO chip is also juxtaposed against the standoff structure such that its optical receivers can receive optical energy from the emitter chip. The standoff structure contacts the emitter chip over an aggregate contact area much smaller than the area by which the emitter chip overlaps the submount. The materials used for bonding the emitter chip and the IO chip to the submount are disposed in the recesses between

standoffs and not on the contact surfaces of the standoff structure. Bischel et al. fail to disclose the required diffractive element structure and the en resin/encapsulant structure. However, Nakabayashi disclose a diffractive optical element and method for producing the same where the required diffractive element structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Ishihara et al. disclose a light separation device blazed grating device, diffraction grating device and illumination optical system where the required resin/encapsulant structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required diffractive element and the resin/encapsulant structures in Bischel et al. as taught by Nakabayashi and Ishihara et al. respectively in order to have a light emitting device with higher performance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,374 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Starting February 4, 2004, Examiner Fazli Erdem's phone number will be changed to (571) 272-1914 and his SPE Nathan Flynn's phone number will be changed to (571) 272-1915

FE February 8, 2004

ECHNOROGA CENTER 2800 IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER